

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,291	09/25/2000	Jean-Paul Debalme	1247-0849-6VF	1279
22850	7590 10/07/2003		EXAMI	NER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			LEE, EDMUND H	
	UKE STREET ANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	$\phi = /\varphi$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/668,291	DEBALME ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	EDMUND H. LEE	1732				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	t. 2002	,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 July</u>						
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.	recognition on to the morite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 20-26</u> is/are pending in the ap	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 20-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/668,291 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loubinoux et al (USPN 6294036) in view of Angell, Jr. et al (USPN 5037284) and Kuts (USPN 2954815). In regard to claim 1, Loubinoux et al teach the basic claimed process including a process for manufacturing a composite tape based on reinforced fibers and thermoplastic organic material (col 2, In 10-col 3, In 40; figs 1-6); entraining yarns based on thermoplastic and reinforcing fibers and bring together the yarns in a parallel and touching manner in the form of a sheet (col 2, In 10-col 3, In 40; figs 1-6); heating the sheet in a heating zone wherein the sheet is heated to a temperature reaching at least the melting point of the thermoplastic without reaching the softening temperature of the reinforcing fibers (col 2, Ins 10-col 3, In 40; figs 1-6); introducing the sheet against at least one rotating bar that shapes and centers the touching yarns of the sheet wherein the sheet is maintained at a temperature at which the thermoplastic is malleable and the touching yarns are brought together into a more touching state (col 2, lns 63-66; col 3, In 66-col 4, In 28)--as a note, the elimination of the corrugations indicate that the touching yarns were moved into a more touching state; and cooling the sheet in order to consolidate the yarns by freezing the thermoplastic and set the dimension and appearance of the sheet (col 5, In 52-col 6, In 10; figs 1-6). However, Loubinoux et al.

does not teach a rotating impregnation device including heated rollers that maintains the temperature of the sheet at a temperature at which the thermoplastic is malleable and distributes the thermoplastic uniformly and impregnates the fibers; and a shaping and centering device including a roller in a shape of a hyperboloid. In regard to a rotating impregnation device, Angell, Jr. et al teach a process for manufacturing resinimpregnated fiber tows (figs 1-2); using an impregnation station including kneader rolls and nip rolls positioned before a centering roll wherein the kneader and nip rolls cause uniform distribution of the resin and uniform impregnation of the fibers (col 4, Ins 18-50; figs 1-2); and heating the kneader rolls and nip rolls to maintain the resin in a molten condition (col 4, lns 42-48; figs 1-6). Angell, Jr. et al also teach maintaining the resin portion of the impregnated tow in a molten condition by applying external heating through radiant heaters or heated air, and enclosing the coating area inclusive of the kneader rolls, nip rolls, and coating rolls in order to maintain an elevated temperature environment (col 4, lns 42-48)--as a note, these teachings constitute using a rotating impregnation device including heated rollers. Loubinoux et al and Angell, Jr. et al are combinable because they are analogous with respect to forming a fiber-reinforced tape/sheet/tow. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to redesign the apparatus of Loubinoux et al to include the heated kneader rolls and nip rolls of Angell, Jr. et al between the heating zone and at least one rotating bar of Loubinoux et al in order to produce a fiber-reinforced sheet having greater strength and uniformity. In regard to a shaping and centering device including a roller in a shape of a hyperboloid, Loubinoux et al teach using a bar for

centering having a varying cross-section and curved (col 4, lns 34-50). Kuts teaches a method of forming a ribbons from rubber threads (figs 1-3); and using a concave or hour glass roll 52 to gather threads, i.e., to crowd/bring together threads (col 4, Ins 60-67; figs 7 and 11). Loubinoux et al and Kuts are combinable because they analogous with respect to using a roll/bar to center threads/yarns. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the concave or hour glass roller of Kuts for the bar of Loubinoux et al in order to ensure accurate gathering of the yarns of Loubinoux et al. In regard to claims 2-6, Loubinoux et al teach providing yarns consisting of continuous glass filaments and continuous thermoplastic filaments which are co-mingled (col 2, In 10-col 3, In 40); unreeling a continuous yarn of reinforcing filaments and thermoplastic filaments and regulating the tension of the yarns (col 2, In 10-col 3, In 40; col 8, Ins 50-60; figs 1-6); passing the sheet (15, 18, or 19) through an additional heating zone (17) after the sheet has passed the impregnation device (fig 2)--as a note, fig 2 clearly shows that the additional heating zone (17) is positioned downstream of the rotating bars (24, 25); and winding the fiberreinforced sheet on a mandrel (col 5, Ins 30-33). However, Loubinoux et al does not teach stripping static electricity from the yarns before passing the yarns through the heating zone. Such is well-known in the molding art in order to prevent the embedment of contamination or eliminate the risk of producing sparks. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to strip any static electricity from the yarns of Loubinoux et al before they are heated in order to

ensure a safe molding process and produce a high quality contaminate-free, fiberreinforced sheet.

Page 5

3. Claims 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loubinoux et al (USPN 6294036) in view of Angell, Jr. et al (USPN 5037284) and Kuts (USPN 2954815). In regard to claim 20, Loubinoux et al teach the basic claimed process including a process for manufacturing a composite tape based on reinforced fibers and thermoplastic organic material (col 2, In 10-col 3, In 40; figs 1-6); entraining yarns based on thermoplastic and reinforcing fibers and bring together the yarns in a parallel and touching manner in the form of a sheet (col 2, ln 10-col 3, ln 40; figs 1-6); heating the sheet in a heating zone wherein the sheet is heated to a temperature reaching at least the melting point of the thermoplastic without reaching the softening temperature of the reinforcing fibers (col 2, Ins 10-col 3, In 40; figs 1-6); introducing the sheet against at least one rotating bar that shapes and centers the touching yarns of the sheet wherein the sheet is maintained at a temperature at which the thermoplastic is malleable and the touching yarns are brought together into a more touching state (col 2, Ins 63-66; col 3, In 66-col 4, In 28)--as a note, the elimination of the corrugations indicate that the touching yarns were moved into a more touching state; and cooling the sheet in order to consolidate the yarns by freezing (col 5, In 52-col 6, In 10; figs 1-6). However, Loubinoux et al does not teach a rotating impregnation device including heated rollers that maintains the temperature of the sheet at a temperature at which the thermoplastic is malleable and distributes the thermoplastic uniformly and impregnates the fibers; and a shaping and centering device including a roller in a shape of a

Application/Control Number: 09/668,291

Art Unit: 1732

hyperboloid. In regard to a rotating impregnation device, Angell, Jr. et al teach a process for manufacturing resin-impregnated fiber tows (figs 1-2); using an impregnation station including kneader rolls and nip rolls positioned before a centering roll wherein the kneader and nip rolls cause uniform distribution of the resin and uniform impregnation of the fibers (col 4, Ins 18-50; figs 1-2); and heating the kneader rolls and nip rolls to maintain the resin in a molten condition (col 4, lns 42-48; figs 1-6). Angell, Jr. et al also teach maintaining the resin portion of the impregnated tow in a molten condition by applying external heating through radiant heaters or heated air, and enclosing the coating area inclusive of the kneader rolls, nip rolls, and coating rolls in order to maintain an elevated temperature environment (col 4, lns 42-48)--as a note, these teachings constitute using a rotating impregnation device including heated rollers. Loubinoux et al and Angell, Jr. et al are combinable because they are analogous with respect to forming a fiber-reinforced tape/sheet/tow. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to redesign the apparatus of Loubinoux et al to include the heated kneader rolls and nip rolls of Angell, Jr. et al between the heating zone and at least one rotating bar of Loubinoux et al in order to produce a fiber-reinforced sheet having greater strength and uniformity. In regard to a shaping and centering device including a roller in a shape of a hyperboloid, Loubinoux et al teach using a bar for centering having a varying cross-section and curved (col 4, Ins 34-50). Kuts teaches a method of forming a ribbons from rubber threads (figs 1-3); and using a concave or hour glass roll 52 to gather threads, i.e., to crowd/bring together threads (col 4, Ins 60-67; figs 7 and 11). Loubinoux et al and Kuts

are combinable because they analogous with respect to using a roll/bar to center threads/yarns. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the concave or hour glass roller of Kuts for the bar of Loubinoux et al in order to ensure accurate gathering of the yarns of Loubinoux et al. In regard to claims 21-26, Loubinoux et al teach providing yarns consisting of continuous glass filaments and continuous thermoplastic filaments which are co-mingled (col 2, In 10-col 3, In 40); unreeling a continuous yarn of reinforcing filaments and thermoplastic filaments and regulating the tension of the yarns (col 2, In 10-col 3, In 40; col 8, Ins 50-60; figs 1-6); passing the sheet (15, 18, or 19) through an additional heating zone (17) after the sheet has passed the impregnation device (fig 2)-as a note, fig 2 clearly shows that the additional heating zone (17) is positioned downstream of the rotating bars (24, 25); winding the fiber-reinforced sheet on a mandrel (col 5, lns 30-33); and cooling the sheet in order to consolidate the yarns by freezing the thermoplastic and set the dimension and appearance of the sheet (col 5, In 52-col 6, In 10; figs 1-6). However, Loubinoux et al does not teach stripping static electricity from the yarns before passing the yarns through the heating zone. Such is well-known in the molding art in order to prevent the embedment of contamination or eliminate the risk of producing sparks. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to strip any static electricity from the yarns of Loubinoux et al before they are heated in order to ensure a safe molding process and produce a high quality contaminate-free, fiber-reinforced sheet.

Application/Control Number: 09/668,291 Page 8

Art Unit: 1732

4. Applicants' arguments filed 2/3/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that neither Loubinoux et al nor Angell, Jr. et al do not teach using heated rollers in the rotating impregnation device. Angell, Jr. et al teach maintaining the resin portion of the impregnated tow in a molten condition by applying external heating through radiant heaters or heated air, and enclosing the coating area inclusive of the kneader rolls, nip rolls, and coating rolls in order to maintain an elevated temperature environment (col 4, Ins 42-48)--as a note, these teachings constitute using a rotating impregnation device including heated rollers.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 09/668,291

Art Unit: 1732

703.305.4019. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 703.305.5493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0661.

EDMUND H. LEE

Page 9

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732

EHL